Original Article

How Does the First Molar Root Location Affect the Critical Stress Pattern in the Periodontium? A Finite Element Analysis

Zahra Baghani¹, DMD; Reza Soheilifard², PhD; Sahar Bayat³, Msc;

¹ Dept. of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran.

² Dept. of Mechanical Engineering Hakim Sabzevari University Sabzevar, Iran.

³ Student, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran.

KEY WORDS

ABSTRACT

Finite Element Analysis;

Mechanical Stress;

Molar;

Periodontium;

Tooth Root;

Received: 13 November 2021; Revised: 2 January 2022; Accepted: 12 March 2022;

Copyright

© Journal of Dentistry, this is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, (http ://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/) which permits reusers to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format if the original work is properly cited, and attribbution is given to the creator. The license also permits for commercial use. **Statement of the Problem:** The first molar root location plays a pivotal role in neutralization of forces applied to the teeth to prevent injury.

Purpose: This study aimed to assess the effect of maxillary and mandibular first molar root location on biomechanical behavior of the periodontium under vertical and oblique loadings.

Materials and Method: In this three-dimensional (3D) finite element analysis (FEA), the maxillary and mandibular first molars and their periodontium were modeled. The Young's modulus and the Poisson's ratio for the enamel, dentin, dental pulp, periodontal ligament (PDL), and cortical and cancellous bones were adopted from previous studies. The changes in maximum von Misses stress (MVMS) values of each component were analyzed.

Results: The MVMS values were the highest in the enamel followed by dentin, cortical bone, cancellous bone, and PDL. The maxillary and mandibular first molars with different root locations and their periodontium showed different biomechanical behaviors under the applied loads.

Conclusion: An interesting finding was that the stress concentration point in the path of load degeneration changed from the cervical third in dentin to the apical third in the cancellous bone, which can greatly help in detection of susceptible areas over time.

Corresponding Author: Baghani Z, Dept. of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran. Tel: +98-44018338 Email: za_baghani@yahoo.com

Cite this article as: Baghani Z, Soheilifard R, Bayat S. How Does the First Molar Root Location Affect the Critical Stress Pattern in the Periodontium? A Finite Element Analysis. J Dent Shiraz Univ Med Sci. June 2023; 24(2): 182-193.

Introduction

The periodontium plays a fundamental role in transfer of masticatory forces from the tooth to the alveolar bone, and force degradation [1]. From the clinical point of view, excessive occlusal forces can damage the periodontal tissue, temporomandibular joint, masticatory muscles, and pulp tissue [2]. However, from the biological point of view, animal studies have indicated that excessive loading is associated with the formation of pressure and tension sites in bone, depending on the magnitude and direction of the applied forces. The pressure sites are characterized by bone resorption, while the tension sites are characterized by bone remodeling [3-5]. The occurrence of the bone remodeling process depends on the maximum load and number of fixed daily cycles of load application [6-8]. It appears that the pattern and location of maximum stress concentration may differ depending on the root location of molar teeth. Therefore, pattern of stress distribution in teeth with different morphologies and biomechanical behavior of the components (which are not homogenous) should be studied in physiological occlusion and under maximum mastication force (MMF). Evidence shows that the teeth are more resistant to vertical loads. Thus, occlusal stress is considered as the main cause of dental injury [4,9-14]. It can traumatize the tooth at the stress concentration points and even lead to tooth fracture over time [15-16].

Detection of stress concentration points in the tooth structure and periodontium is not easy. A precise biomechanical model is required to analyze the causes of tooth fracture through a finite element analysis (FEA). FEA can reveal the qualitative responses to biomechanical stimuli [17-22]. It can simulate the clinical oral environment and stress-strain patterns [23], and is therefore, commonly used in dentistry for analysis of the teeth and the periodontium [24-29].

Borčić et al. [30] evaluated the three-dimensional (3D) pattern of stress distribution in a maxillary first premolar with two roots under two different loading conditions namely cusp to fossa occlusion, and cusp to fossa and cusp to marginal ridge occlusion, by applying oblique and vertical loads. They showed that vertical loads were dominant compared with tensile loads, and maximum concentration of vertical stress occurred at the dentinoenamel junction in the cervical third of all models. However, tensile stresses were recorded at the vestibular surface of the buccal cusps (about +3MPa) and also in the central fossa of both models (about +28 MPa) in the cusp to fossa and cusp to marginal ridge occlusion. They only evaluated the stress distribution pattern in the tooth structure, and maximum stress concentration was noted in dentin and enamel in the cervical region [30]. It seems the location of roots can also affect these maximum stress points on the periodontium. Therefore, the pattern of stress distribution and degradation should be studied to find the actual points of stress accumulation and susceptible areas at which, the stress level might exceed the tolerance threshold of the tissue. Since first molars are the largest posterior teeth and have the most complex morphology [35], they play a fundamental role in distribution of masticatory forces [32-35].

Some recent studies [36-38] evaluated the pattern of stress distribution in the tooth structure, PDL, and interproximal contacts of restored and endodontically-treated maxillary and mandibular first molars by 3D FEA. Assessment of the biomechanical behavior of the teeth and stress distribution in the process of masticatory force degradation in single-rooted teeth and detection of points of maximum stress accumulation are a research priority [31, 39-40]. Some other anatomical factors such as the number and location of roots in posterior multirooted teeth can also change the critical stress points. Thus, knowledge about the areas of maximum von Mises stress (MVMS) values can reveal areas susceptible to root fracture under parafunctional forces and indicate areas in need of precise occlusal adjustment. Therefore, knowledge about the areas susceptible to stress accumulation with respect to root location in posterior teeth is essential to minimize the risk of subsequent periodontal injury. Thus, this study aimed to assess the effect of number and location of roots of intact maxillary and mandibular first molars on biomechanical behavior of the teeth and periodontium under vertical (physiological and MMF) and oblique loadings.

Materials and Method

Designing the 3D finite element model

A computed-tomography (CT) scan of molar teeth that met the inclusion criteria was used for this study. The inclusion criteria was defined as presence of an intact maxillary first molar with three normal roots and no restorative or endodontic treatment, presence of an intact mandibular first molar with two normal roots and no restorative or endodontic treatment, and normal morphology of the crown and root of mandibular and maxillary first molars according to the textbooks [41]. Teeth that did not meet the inclusion criteria were not used for modeling.

Accordingly, the skull CT scan of a 31 year-old female with sound mandibular and maxillary first molars obtained by a high-resolution CT scanner (LOTUS in vivo, Iran) with the exposure settings of 45-90 kV, 0.18 mA, 80×200 mm field of view, 0.5 mm slice thickness, and 0.01 mm pixel size was used for this study. The CT data in DICOM format were imported to Mimics 19.0 software (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium mimics) to create 3D models of the enamel, dentin, dental pulp, PDL (0.25 mm), and cortical and cancellous bones (1 mm), and geometrically reconstruct the mandibular and maxillary first molar occlusal surfaces. The 3D model of the first molars with the surrounding bone was saved in STL format and imported to GEOMAGIC Studio 12.0 software to fix the errors of the model geometry, and was then imported to ANSYS19.1 software for meshing (Figure 1). The designed model was then geometrically optimized, and the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio for different components were defined. All components were considered homogenous, isotropic, and linearly elastic. The geometric models were then transf-



Figure 1: Segmentation process; a: Meshing of the mandibular first molar along with its attachment apparatus, b: Meshing of the maxillary first molar along with its attachment apparatus

Table 1: Elastic properties of different components of the teeth and the attachment apparatus

Component	Young's modulus (MPa)	Poisson's ratio
Cortical bone [42]	15000	0.3
Dental pulp [43]	2	0.45
Dentin [44]	18600	0.31
Enamel [44]	84100	0.2
Lamina dura [42]	15000	0.3
Periodontal ligament [44]	70.3	0.45
Cancellous bone [42]	1500	0.3

erred to the FEA software for meshing. Trihedral parabolic solid elements were used for this purpose.

Table 1 presents the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio for different components of the teeth and the attachment apparatus.

The finite element model of the mandibular first molar comprised of 274,664 nodes and 169,503 elements while the finite element model of the maxillary first molar comprised of 243,714 nodes and 149,843 elements. To assess the pattern of stress distribution in the components based on the Von Mises stress criteria, the models were transferred to ANSYS 19.1 software (AN-SYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA) (Figure 1B).

Loading process

The loading process was adopted from the studies by Jiang *et al.* [37], Yoon *et al.* [45], and Yuan *et al.* [46], for vertical and lateral loadings (in order to be able to compare the results). According to Jiang *et al.* [37], vertical load was applied to 5 points in the occlusal surface of the maxillary first molar, and lateral forces were also applied to the lingual slope of the lingual cusp.

Moreover, according to Yoon *et al.* [45], vertical load was applied to 5 points in the occlusal surface of the mandibular first molar that were in contact with the opposing tooth during mastication. According to Yuan *et al.* [46], lateral forces were applied to two points in the lingual slope of the buccal cusps at 45-degree angle relative to the longitudinal axis of the tooth. It should be noted that the magnitude of vertical and lateral loads was distributed among the loaded points. Therefore, three loading conditions were considered for FEA as follows:

Loading condition 1

Axial load in an amount of 250 N [47] was applied to 5 points in the occlusal surface to simulate normal application of masticatory forces in the clinical setting. These points were the central fossa, mesial and distal marginal ridges, and the center of the buccal cusps in the mandibular first molar [45], and the central fossa, mesial and distal marginal ridges, and the center of the palatal cusps in the maxillary first molar [37].

Loading condition 2

Oblique load in an amount of 100 N [11] with 45degree angle was applied to simulate lateral forces applied during mastication in the clinical setting. The loading points were the internal slope of the buccal cusps of the mandibular first molar [46] and the internal slope of the palatal cusps of the maxillary first molar.

Loading condition 3

Axial load in an amount of 800N [45, 48] was applied to the same points mentioned above in the maxillary and



Figure 2: Loading points; **a1:** A force of 100 N was applied to the slope of the palatal cusps at 45-degree angle relative to the longitudinal axis of the maxillary first molar model, **a2:** A vertical force of 250 N was applied to 5 points in the maxillary first molar model. **b1:** A force of 100 N was applied to the slope of the buccal cusp at 45-degree angle relative to the longitudinal axis of the mandibular first molar model. **b2:** A vertical force of 250 N was applied to 5 points in the mandibular first molar model.

mandibular first molars to simulate MMF (Figure 2).

Each load was separately applied to the mandibular and maxillary first molars. In total, the following six models were analyzed as Model 1: loading condition 1 on the mandibular first molar, Model 2: loading condition 2 on the mandibular first molar, Model 3: loading condition 3 on the mandibular first molar, Model 4: loading condition 1 on the maxillary first molar, Model 5: loading condition 2 on the maxillary first molar, and Model 6: loading condition 3 on the maxillary first molar. In all models, nodes in the bottom surface of the cortical bone were fully constrained.

Results

The MVMS values in the six models were analyzed in different tissues to find the effect of root location on susceptible high-stress points in the maxillary (with mesial, distal, and palatal root locations) and mandibular (with mesial and distal root locations) first molars and their periodontium. Figure 3 shows the results of loading of the occlusal surface, which is the first surface that receives the applied forces. Figures 3a, 3b, and 3c depict the pattern of stress distribution in the enamel of mandibular first molar under different loading conditions. Figures 3d, 3e, and 3f depict the pattern of stress distribution in the enamel of maxillary first molar under different loading conditions. To compare the results more accurately, the charts of MVMS values in the loading points were also drawn. As shown in Figure 3b, in vertical loading of the mandibular first molar, the maximum stress was concentrated in the distobuccal cusp (model 2); whereas, the maximum stress was concentrated in the mesiopalatal cusp in vertical loading of the maxillary first molar (model 5). The magnitude of this stress in the maxillary first molar was approximately 3 times the value in the mandibular first molar.

In application of oblique loads, stress concentration in the mandibular first molar was mainly in the mesiobuccal cusp (model 1); while, it was in the mesiopalatal cusp in the maxillary first molar (model 4). In addition, the magnitude of stress in the maxillary first molar was half the value in the mandibular first molar (Figures 3a and d).

In application of MMF, no significant difference was noted regarding the stress concentration points, compared with the standard loading conditions. The only difference was that the magnitude of stress in both jaws was approximately 3 times the value in standard loading conditions (models 3 and 6). The magnitude of stress in the mandibular first molar under oblique loading was about 10 times the value in model 2 (standard vertical loading) and even higher than that in application of MMF (model 3). However, in the maxillary first molar, the maximum stress value was about 2 times higher



Figure 3: Von Mises stress distribution in the occlusal surface of maxillary and mandibular first molars in six models. Figures a, b and c present stress distribution in the occlusal surface of mandibular first molar under a: 100 N oblique load, b: 250 N vertical load and c: 800 N traumatic load. Figures d, e and f present stress distribution in the occlusal surface of maxillary first molar under d: 100 N oblique load, e: 250 N vertical load and f: 800 N traumatic load. (MB: Mesiobuccal, DB: Distobuccal, DF: Distal fossa, CF: Central fossa, MF: Mesial fossa, MP: Mesiopalatal, DP: Distopalatal, DM: Distal marginal ridge, MM: Mesial marginal ridge)

than that in standard loading (model 5), and lower than that in application of MMF (Figure 3).

Figure 4 shows the points of MVMS concentration in dentin, which is the second tissue that receives load after the enamel. In vertical loading of the mandibular first molar (models 2 and 3), loads were mainly distributed through the mesial root while in oblique loading (model 1), load transfer was mainly through the distal root. In the maxillary first molar (models 4 and 5), the maximum stress was noted in the palatal root, while in model 6 the maximum stress in all models was at the cervical region, and its magnitude in oblique loading was higher than that in standard and MMF loading conditions, and was not significantly different between the maxillary and mandibular first molars (Figure 4).

After passing through the tooth structure, stress reaches the PDL. Figure 5 shows the stress distribution in the PDL. As shown, the magnitude of stress in the PDL was lower than the corresponding value in dental



Figure 4: Von Mises stress distribution in dentin for the six models. Figures a, b and c present stress distribution in the mandibular first molar dentin under a: 100 N oblique load, b: 250 N vertical load and c: 800 N traumatic load. Figures d, e and f present stress distribution in the maxillary first molar dentin under d: 100 N oblique load, e: 250 N vertical load and f: 800 N traumatic load. (Mandible: M(A)=Mesial (Apex), D(B): Distal (Apex), M(C): Mesial (Cervical), D(C): Distal (Cervical), F: Furcation. (Maxilla: MB(A): Mesiobuccal Apex), DB(A): Distobuccal (Apex), P(A): Palatal (Apex), MB(C): Mesiobuccal (Cervical), DB(C): Distobuccal (Cervical), P(C): Palatal (Cervical), F: Furcation)

hard tissue, and stress concentration occurred mainly at the interface of cervical third and middle third. The maximum stress concentration was noted in the distal root in model 1, mesial root in models 2 and 3, distal and palatal roots in model 4, mesiobuccal root in model 5, and mesial root in model 6 (Figure 5). The maximum stress in oblique loading of the mandibular first molar was twice the value in the maxillary first molar (Figures 5a and d). In vertical loading, however, the value in the mandibular first molar was higher than that in the maxillary first molar (Figures 5b, c, e, and f). Lamina dura, which is the first layer of bone around the root, receives the distributed stresses prior to complete disintegration of load, as shown in Figure 6. The MVMS value in oblique loading was noted in the distal root and furcation area of the mandibular first molar and palatal root and furcation area of the maxillary first molar. However, in standard vertical and MMF loadings, the MVMS values were concentrated in the middle third of the mes-



Figure 5: Von Mises stress distribution in the PDL for the six models. Figures a, b and c present stress distribution in the mandible under, a: 100 N oblique load, b: 250 N vertical load, and c: 800 N traumatic load. Figures d, e and f present stress distribution in the maxilla under d: 100 N oblique load, e: 250 N vertical load and f: 800 N traumatic load. (Mandible: M(A): Mesial (Apex), D(B): Distal (Apex); M(C): Mesial (Cervical), D(C): Distal (Cervical), F: Furcation). (Maxilla: MB (A): Mesiobuccal Apex), DB(A): Distobuccal (Apex), P(A): Palatal (Apex), MB(C): Mesiobuccal (Cervical), F: Furcation) (Cervical), P(C): Palatal (Cervical), F: Furcation)

ial root of the mandibular first molar, and middle third of the palatal root of the maxillary first molar, as well as the furcation area of both maxillary and mandibular first molars.

The final step of stress disintegration occurred in the cancellous bone (Figure 7). The MVMS value under standard vertical and MMF loadings was concentrated in the apical third around the mesial root of the mandibular first molar and mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar. Its magnitude was slightly higher in the maxillary first molar (approximately 2 MPa). However, in oblique loading, stress, in an amount of 2 MPa was noted around the apex of distal root in model 1 and apex of palatal root in model 4. The difference in stress values under vertical and oblique loadings also decreased in the cancellous bone.

The maximum stress in the enamel under standard vertical loading was 162 MPa in the maxillary first molar (three-rooted first molar) and 51 MPa in the mandibular first molar (two-rooted first molar). These values



Figure 6: Von Mises stress distribution in the lamina dura for the six models; Figures a, b and c present stress distribution in the mandible under **a:** 100 N oblique load, **b:** 250 N vertical load and **c:** 800 N traumatic load. Figures d, e and f present stress distribution in the maxilla under **d:** 100 N oblique load, **e:** 250 N vertical load and **f:** 800 N traumatic load. (Mandible: M(A): Mesial (Apex), D(B):Distal (Apex), M(C): Mesial (Cervical), D(C): Distal (Cervical), F=Furcation. (Maxilla: MB(A): Mesiobuccal Apex, DB(A): Distobuccal (Apex), P(A): Palatal (Apex), MB(C): Mesiobuccal (Cervical), DB(C): Distobuccal (Cervical), P(C): Palatal (Cervical), F: Furcation)

were 2.1 MPa and 3.8 MPa in the cancellous bone in the maxilla and mandible, respectively. Also, the maximum stress in oblique loading decreased from the occlusal surface towards the cancellous bone from 320 MPa to 1.7 MPa in the maxilla, indicating a reduction by 188 times. In the mandible, the maximum stress decreased from 410 MPa in the occlusal surface to 1.3 MPa in the cancellous bone, indicating a reduction by 315 times.

Figure 8a and b shows the MVMS values in maxillary and mandibular first molars under standard loadi-ng conditions in the present study in comparison with previous studies on this topic. In this study, the maximum stress under standard loading conditions was 160 MPa in the maxillary first molar and 44MPa in the mandibular first molar. The stress value in the maxillary first molar enamel was approximately 4 times the value in the mandibular first molar enamel. The stress values were approximately tripled under MMF loading (500 MPa in the maxillary first molar and 140 MPa in the mandibular first molar). However, under oblique loading conditions,



Figure 7: Von Mises stress distribution in the cancellous bone for the six models. Figures a, b and c present stress distribution in the mandible under a: 100 N oblique load), b: 250 N vertical load and c: 800 N traumatic load. Figures d, e and f present stress distribution in the maxilla under d: 100 N oblique load, e: 250 N vertical load and f: 800 N traumatic load. (Mandible: M(A): Mesial (Apex), D(B): Distal (Apex), M(C): Mesial (Cervical), D(C): Distal (Cervical), F: Furcation). (Maxilla: MB(A): Mesiobuccal Apex, DB(A): Distobuccal (Apex), P(A): Palatal (Apex), MB(C): Mesiobuccal (Cervical), DB(C): Distobuccal (Cervical), P(C): Palatal (Cervical), F: Furcation)

the concentrated stress in the occlusal surface of the mandibular first molar was higher than that in the maxillary first molar (420 MPa versus 320 MPa). In the study by Jiang et al. [37], the maximum stress value at 5 points in an intact maxillary first molar under 800 N load was approximately 340 MPa, while the MVMS value was 90 MPa in the occlusal surface under oblique loading. They showed higher stress concentration under vertical MMF loading compared with oblique loading. Also, Guler et al. [26] reported that the stress value applied to the occlusal surface of a maxillary first molar with an intact occlusal surface and a class V restoration was 70-90 MPa under masticatory forces (Figure 8a). Similarly, in the mandible, comparisons with some other studies indicated 80 MPa maximum stress accumulation in the occlusal surface of restored teeth [49-50] (Figure 8b).

Discussion

Evidence shows that the periodontium transfers the ma-



b

Figure 8: Comparison of the maximum von Mises stress values (MVMS) obtained in the present study with the findings of previous studies. **a:** Maxillary first molar under standard loading, **b:** Mandibular first molar under standard loading. (RC: Resin composite, GIC: Glass ionomer cement, A: Amalgam, E-A: Enamel-Amalgam, E-P: Enamel-Porcelain)

sticatory forces to the jawbone during the masticatory function [2]. However, all teeth and periodontal components need to be separately analyzed to assess the path of disintegration of force and find the susceptible highstress areas for prosthetic adjustment of multi-rooted teeth. The pattern of stress distribution appears to be different in three-rooted maxillary first molars and tworooted mandibular first molars. Thus, stress distribution in different parts of maxillary and mandibular first molars and their periodontium was evaluated in the present study. Generally, the masticatory force transfer depends on the tooth support mechanism, which is impaired when the periodontium is damaged [51]. Thus, periodontal adaptation is affected by the magnitude, direction, duration, and frequency of load application. When the applied occlusal forces exceed the maximum adaptation capacity of a tooth, tissue injury or trauma from occlusion may occur [52].

Animal studies have revealed the histological events responsible for this adaptation, which include bone formation at the tension sites and bone resorption at the pressure sites in the periodontium [53-57].Thus, detection of high-stress and tension points and their generalization to the clinical setting seem imperative. In the present study, both occlusal tables received a vertical occlusal force with the same magnitude at 5 points to simulate physiological and MMF loading conditions [37, 45, 47-48] and at 2 points for simulation of lateral forces applied to the teeth in function according to previous studies [37, 58].

The results of the present study indicated MVMS concentration in the occlusal enamel and cervical dentin (Figures 3-4). These areas are in conformity with those reported by the previous studies in different teeth [42-43, 59-60]. The points of MVMS concentration in the enamel are depicted in graphs in Figures 3-8. For a more accurate analysis, the results were compared with the findings of Jiang *et al.* [37] and Guler *et al.* [26]. Regarding the MVMS values, the findings of Jiang *et al.* [37] and Guler *et al.* [26] were almost consistent with the present results. However, they did not make a comparison between the maxillary and mandibular molar teeth.

High stress concentration in the enamel, as reported in many studies, is due to the hardness and high modulus of elasticity of the enamel as well as its higher resistance against the applied forces. Thus, enamel tolerates high levels of stress and significantly decreases the magnitude of stress, which is higher in three-rooted first molars under vertical loading compared with two-rooted first molars and *vice versa* under oblique loads (Figure 3).

Considering the lower hardness of dentin than enamel, the magnitude of stress decreased in dentin (Figure 4a). Stress concentration in the maxillary first molar dentin was mainly in the palatal and mesiobuccal roots under standard loading. Under parafunctional forces, the concentration of stress was in the mesiobuccal root, while under oblique loading, stress concentrated in the cervical third of the palatal root. These results were in line with the previous findings [61]. In the mandibular first molar, however, the masticatory loads were mainly distributed through the buccal surface of the mesial root. Under oblique loading, stress was transferred from the buccal surface of the tooth crown and lingual surface of the distal root [62-63]. This finding has been confirmed of endodontically-treated teeth using FEA. They added that considering the stress accumulation points, endodontic posts should be preferably placed in the distal root [36, 45]. These changes are due to the fact that the first molar mesial root has a wider buccolingual than mesiodistal dimension, and has an ovoid shape [64]. Thus, it receives higher stress levels in this dimension, which can lead to vertical fracture in this dimension. On the other hand, considering the morphology and higher concavity of the mesial root than the distal root, and the difference in the load application axis and stress accumulation points between the two roots, higher torque is generated in the mesial root [65-66]. It should be noted that since the root dentin is thin at the apex, cracks often initiate from the apical region in such fractures [67-68]. However, under oblique loading, the maximum stress is accumulated in the cervical third of the distal root, which is probably due to the proximity of dentin to the alveolar bone crest. Bone crest serves as a lever and generes torque, which results in further damage under masticato-

ry loads, causing mainly horizontal fractures [63, 69].

by the authors who assessed stress distribution in dentin

In general, the results revealed that the stress concentration point in load transfer from the tooth to the attachment apparatus changes from the cervical dentin towards the middle third in the lamina dura, and then to the apical third in the cancellous bone in two-rooted and three-rooted first molars. In the PDL, stress concentration occurs at the interface of the cervical third and middle third (Figures 5-7). Similarly, Poiate et al. [69] reported stress concentration in the same points in the PDL of a maxillary central incisor. In addition, some researchers reported that the point of maximum stress concentration changed from the coronal third in dentin of a sound tooth to middle or apical third in an endodontically treated tooth [45, 70-72]. These areas are the sites of maximum collagen fiber degradation and alveolar bone loss. It appears that the oblique fibers in the PDL, mainly located in the middle third of the root, are responsible for this process [71]. Thus, loads are transferred from the coronal third to the middle third in the lamina dura. Moreover, by the root-end compression in bone, the maximum stress is concentrated around the apex in cancellous bone. On the other hand, stress concentration points may vary in different parts of the tooth and periodontium in the maxilla and mandible, due to

variations in number (two or three), location, and morphology of the roots. Therefore, further investigations are warranted on this topic.

Furthermore, previous studies [37, 39-40] that evaluated stress distribution in teeth with different access cavity designs and root morphologies reported that the risk of root resorption varied in roots with abnormal and deviated morphologies. This can cause changes in stress distribution. However, in the present study, normal root and crown morphology was considered for evaluation of stress distribution under vertical and oblique loadings.

The present study had some limitations. For instance, cyclic loading was not simulated because precise simulation of the actual condition and properties of the jawbone is complicated. Stress distribution pattern in bone defect of maxillary and mandibular molars should be investigated in future studies. In addition, not all materials, including the cortical bone and PDL, exhibit completely linear and homogeneous behavior. However, the authors had to consider all materials and models to be linear, homogeneous, elastic, and isotropic.

Clinical relevance

Knowledge about the areas that are more susceptible to stress in the posterior teeth can reveal areas susceptible to root fracture under parafunctional forces, and highlights the significance of precise occlusal adjustment following posterior tooth restorations.

Conclusion

The obtained results highlighted the difference in response of maxillary and mandibular first molars with various root locations under different loading conditions (normal mastication and MMF), indicating a wellorganized harmony between the loads applied to the teeth, and their biological response according to their root location.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interests regarding this manuscript.

References

 Fiorellini JP, Kim D, Chang YC. Anatomy, Structure, and Function of the Periodontium. In: Newman MG, Takei H-H, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA. Carranza's clinical periodontology.13th ed. Elsevier Sanders: Philadelphia; 2019. p. 36.

- [2] Lindhe J, Nyman S, Ericsson I. Trauma from Occlusion: Periodontal Tissues. In: Lindhe J, Lang NP, Karring T. Clinical periodontology and Implant Dentistry. 5th ed. Blackwell Publishing: Oxford; 2015. p. 349.
- [3] de Albuquerque Lopes ACT, Quartaroli TÉO MA, Corrêa MG, Ishikiriama BLC, Campos MLG. Evaluation of bone loss due to primary occlusal trauma in two experimental models of occlusal overload. Rev Odontol UNESP. 2016; 45: 183-188.
- [4] Fan J, Caton JG. Occlusal trauma and excessive occlusal forces: Narrative review, case definitions, and diagnostic considerations. J Periodontol. 2018; 89 Suppl 1: S214-S222.
- [5] Ericsson I, Lindhe J. Effect of longstanding jiggling on experimental marginal periodontitis in the beagle dog. J Clin Periodontol. 1982; 9: 497-503.
- [6] Rucci N. Metabolism, Molecular biology of bone remodelling. Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab. 2008; 5: 49-56.
- [7] Hu C, Qin QH. Bone remodeling and biological effects of mechanical stimulus. AIMS Bioeng. 2020; 7: 12-28.
- [8] Beaupré GS, Orr TE, Carter DR. An approach for timedependent bone modeling and remodeling- theoretical development. J Orthop Res. 1990; 8: 651-661.
- [9] Las Casas EBD, Cornacchia TPM, Gouvêa PH, Cimini Jr CA. Abfraction and anisotropy--effects of prism orientation on stress distribution. Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin. 2003; 6: 65-73.
- [10] Mendiburu-Zavala CE, Peñaloza-Cuevas R, Erosa RC. Occlusal trauma may be a factor to cause periapical lesions: report of two cases. J Dent Oral Health Cosmesis. 2016; 1: 7.
- [11] Palamara, D, Palamara JE, Tyas MJ, Messer HH. Strain patterns in cervical enamel of teeth subjected to occlusal loading. Dent Mater. 2000; 16: 412-419.
- [12] Rees JS. The effect of variation in occlusal loading on the development of abfraction lesions: a finite element study. J Oral Rehabil. 2002; 29: 188-193.
- [13] Silva BRd, Ferreira NC, Moreira-Neto JJS, da Silva Jr FI, Teixeira EH, de Aguiar ASW. Stress distribution on maxillary central incisor under similar traumatic situations with different loading forces: a 3-D finite element analysis. Arquivosem Odontologia. 2013; 49: 52-59.
- [14] Spranger H. Investigation into the genesis of angular lesions at the cervical region of teeth. Quintessence Int. 1995; 26: 149-154.
- [15] Goel VK, Khera SC, Singh K. Clinical implications of

Baghani Z, et al

the response of enamel and dentin to masticatory loads. J Prosthet Dent. 1990; 64: 446-454.

- [16] Robinson D, Aguilar L, Gatti A, Abduo J, Lee PVS, Ackland D. Load response of the natural tooth and dental implant: A comparative biomechanics study. J Adv Prosthodont. 2019; 11: 169-178.
- [17] Chang KH, Magdum S, Khera SC, Goel VK. An advanced approach for computer modeling and prototyping of the human tooth. Ann Biomed Eng. 2003; 31: 621-631.
- [18] Geng JP, Tan KB, Liu GR. Application of finite element analysis in implant dentistry: a review of the literature. J Prosthet Dent. 2001; 85: 585-598.
- [19] Hsu M, Chang CH. Application of finite element analysis in dentistry. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2010; 5: 43-46.
- [20] Mascarenhas R, Parveen S, Shenoy BS, Kumar GS, Ramaiah VV. Infinite applications of finite element method. J Indian Orthod Soc. 2018; 52(4_suppl2): 142-150.
- [21] Reddy MS, Sundram R, Eid Abdemagyd HA. Application of finite element model in implant dentistry: A systematic review. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2019; 11(Suppl 2): S85–S91.
- [22] Zampelis A, Tsamasphyros G. Finite element analysis and its application in dental implant research, in dental computing and applications: Advanced techniques for clinical dentistry. Available at: https://www.igi-global. com/chapter/finite-element-analysis-its-application/8092
- [23] Wakabayashi N, Ona M, Suzuki T, Igarashi Y. Nonlinear finite element analyses: advances and challenges in dental applications. J Dent. 2008; 36: 463-471.
- [24] Danza M, Zollino I, Paracchini L, Riccardo G, Fanali S, Carinci F. 3D finite element analysis to detect stress distribution: spiral family implants. J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2009; 8: 334-339.
- [25] Shahnaseri S, Farahani M, Ghasemianpour Bavandi M, Bagherieh SH, Mousavi SA. Stress distribution of maxillary first molar PDL with high pull head gear traction: A finite element analysis. Futur Dent J. 2005; 22: 652-662.
- [26] Guler MS, Guler C, Cakici F, Cakici EB, Sen S. Finite element analysis of thermal stress distribution in different restorative materials used in class V cavities. Niger J Clin Pract. 2016; 19: 30-34.
- [27] Huang HM, Ou KL, Wang WN, Chiu WT, Lin CT, Lee SY. Dynamic finite element analysis of the human maxillary incisor under impact loading in various directions. J Endod. 2005; 31: 723-727.

- [28] Kim S, Kim S, Choi H, Woo D, Park YB, Shim JS, et al. A three-dimensional finite element analysis of short dental implants in the posterior maxilla. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2014; 29: e155-e164.
- [29] Toms SR, Eberhardt AW. A nonlinear finite element analysis of the periodontal ligament under orthodontic tooth loading. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2003; 123: 657-665.
- [30] Borcić J, Antonić R, Urek MM, Petricević N, Nola-Fuchs P, Catić A, et al. 3-D stress analysis in first maxillary premolar. Coll Antropol. 2007; 31: 1025-1029.
- [31] Borcić J, Antonić R, Muhvić Urek M, Petricević N, Nola-Fuchs P, Catić A, et al. 3-D stress analysis in first maxillary premolar. Collegium antropologicum, Coll Antropol. 2007; 31: 1025-1029.
- [32] Oyama K, Motoyoshi M, Hirabayashi M, Hosoi K, Shimizu N. Effects of root morphology on stress distribution at the root apex. Eur J Orthod. 2007; 29: 113-117.
- [33] Benazzi S, Grosse IR, Gruppioni G, Weber GW, Kullmer O. Comparison of occlusal loading conditions in a lower second premolar using three-dimensional finite element analysis. Clin Oral Investig. 2014; 18: 369-375.
- [34] Benazzi S, Nguyen HN, Kullmer O, Hublin JJ. Unravelling the functional biomechanics of dental features and tooth wear. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e69990.
- [35] Hernández-Vázquez RA, Urriolagoitia-Sosa G, Marquet-Rivera RA, Romero-Ángeles B, Mastache-Miranda OA, Urriolagoitia-Calderón GG. Numerical analysis of a dental zirconium restoration and the stresses that occur in dental tissues. Appl Bionics Biomech. 2019; 2019: 1049306.
- [36] Varga S, Spalj S, Varga ML, Milosevic SA, Mestrovic S, Slaj M. Maximum voluntary molar bite force in subjects with normal occlusion. Eur J Orthod. 2011; 33: 427-433.
- [37] D'souza KM, Aras MA. Three-dimensional finite element analysis of the stress distribution pattern in a mandibular first molar tooth restored with five different restorative materials. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2017; 17: 53-60.
- [38] Jiang Q, Huang Y, Tu X, Li Z, He Y, Yang X. Biomechanical properties of first maxillary molars with different endodontic cavities: a finite element analysis. J Endod. 2018; 44: 1283-1288.
- [39] Çelik Köycü B, Imirzalioğlu P, Özden UA. Three-dimensional finite element analysis of stress distribution in inlay-restored mandibular first molar under simultaneous

thermomechanical loads. Dent Mater J. 2016; 35: 180-186.

- [40] Choy K, Pae EK, Park Y, Kim KH, Burstone CJ. Effect of root and bone morphology on the stress distribution in the periodontal ligament. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2000; 117: 98-105.
- [41] Kamble RH, Lohkare S, Hararey PV, Mundada RD. Stress distribution pattern in a root of maxillary central incisor having various root morphologies: A finite element study. Angle Orthod. 2012; 82: 799-805.
- [42] Nelson SJ, Ash MM. Weelers dental anatomy, physiology, and occlusion. 11830 Westline Industrial Drive. 9th ed. Saunders Elsevier: St. Louis, Mo; 2010. p. 171-184.
- [43] Gale MS, Darvell BW. Thermal cycling procedures for laboratory testing of dental restorations. J Dent. 1999; 27: 89-99.
- [44] Kantardzić I, Vasiljević D, Blazić L, Luzanin O. Influence of cavity design preparation on stress values in maxillary premolar: a finite element analysis. Croatian Med J. 2012; 53: 568-576.
- [45] Eskitaşcioğlu G, Belli S, Kalkan M. Evaluation of two post core systems using two different methods (fracture strength test and a finite elemental stress analysis). J Endod. 2002; 28: 629-633.
- [46] Yoon HG, Oh HK, Lee DY, Shin JH. 3-D finite element analysis of the effects of post location and loading location on stress distribution in root canals of the mandibular 1st molar. J Appl Oral Sci. 2018; 26: e20160406.
- [47] Yuan K, Niu C, Xie Q, Jiang W, Gao L, Huang Z, et al. Comparative evaluation of the impact of minimally invasive preparation vs. conventional straight-line preparation on tooth biomechanics: a finite element analysis. Eur J Oral Sci. 2016; 124: 591-596.
- [48] Fernandes CP, Glantz POJ, Svensson SA, Bergmark B. A novel sensor for bite force determinations. Dentval Materials. 2003; 19: 118-126.
- [49] Zhang Z, Zheng K, Li E, Li W, Li Q, Swain MV. Mechanical benefits of conservative restoration for dental fissure caries. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2016; 53: 11-20.
- [50] Liu Z, Qian Y, Liu D. Stress analysis of first permanent mandibular molar with class 1 restorations of different cement bases by occlusive load: A finite element analysis. Int J Numer Method Biomed Eng. 2010; 26: 1371-1379.
- [51] Soliheen MAM, Kurniawan D, Nor FM. Stress distribution between bonding surface of dental filling in enamel

and dentine. Procedia Manufacturing. 2015; 2: 212-217.

- [52] Johansson AS, Svensson KG, Trulsson M. Impaired masticatory behavior in subjects with reduced periodontal tissue support. J Periodontol. 1996; 77: 1491-1497.
- [53] Carranza FA. Periodontal response to external forces. In: carranza's clinical periodontology. 5th ed. Elsevier Saunders: St. Louis, Missouri; 2011. p. 152.
- [54] Jäger A, Radlanski RJ. Alveolar bone remodelling following orthodontic tooth movement in aged rats. An animal experimental study. Dtsch Stomatol. 1991; 41: 399-406.
- [55] Jeon HH, Teixeira H, Tsai A. Mechanistic insight into orthodontic tooth movement based on animal studies: A critical review. J Clin Med. 2021; 10: 1733.
- [56] Karring T, Nyman S, Thilander B, Magnusson I. Bone regeneration in orthodontically produced alveolar bone dehiscences. J Periodontal Res. 1982; 17: 309-315.
- [57] Milne TJ, Ichim I, Patel B, McNaughton A, Meikle MC. Induction of osteopenia during experimental tooth movement in the rat: alveolar bone remodelling and the mechanostat theory. Eur J Orthod. 2009; 31: 221-231.
- [58] Xu R, Guo D, Zhou X, Sun J, Zhou Y, Fan Y et al. Disturbed bone remodelling activity varies in different stages of experimental, gradually progressive apical periodontitis in rats. Int J Oral Sci. 2019; 11: 1-11.
- [59] Zhu J, Luo D, Rong Q, Wang X. corresponding author1Effect of biomimetic material on stress distribution in mandibular molars restored with inlays: a three-dimensional finite element analysis. Peer J. 2019; 7: e7694.
- [60] Grippo JO. Abfractions: a new classification of hard tissue lesions of teeth. J Esthet Restor Dent. 1991; 3: 14-19.
- [61] Yang S, Kim SM, Choi N, Yang H. Stress distribution of molars restored with minimal invasive and conventional technique: a 3-D finite element analysis. J Dent Rehabil Appl Sci. 2018; 34: 297-305.
- [62] Chauhan SP, Sharma DS, Jain MI. Initial stresses induced in permanent maxillary first molar in mixed dentition under normal masticatory forces: a finite element study. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2016; 40: 334-340.
- [63] Xu H, Jiang Z, Xiao X, Fu J, Su Q. Influence of cavity design on the biomechanics of direct composite resin restorations in Class IV preparations. EJOS. 2012; 120: 161-167.
- [64] Xu HP, Fu J, Deng J, Zheng QH, Zhou W, Huang DM. Effect of occlusal slope related to uneven attrition on root stress distribution and potential fracture. J Dent Sci. 2018;

13: 367-373.

- [65] Lertchirakarn V, Palamara JEA, Messer HH. Finite element analysis and strain-gauge studies of vertical root fracture. J Endod. 2003; 29: 529-534.
- [66] Chan CP, Lin CP, Tseng SC, Jeng JH. Vertical root fracture in endodontically versus nonendodontically treated teeth a survey of 315 cases in Chinese patients. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1999; 87: 504-507.
- [67] Zou X, Liu D, Yue L, Wu M. The ability of cone-beam computerized tomography to detect vertical root fractures in endodontically treated and nonendodontically treated teeth: a report of 3 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2011; 111: 797-801.
- [68] Tang L, Zhou X, Wang Y, Zhang L, Zheng Q, Huang D. Detection of vertical root fracture using cone beam computed tomography: report of two cases. Dent Traumatol. 2011; 27: 484-488.
- [69] Wang P, Su L. Clinical observation in 2 representative

cases of vertical root fracture in nonendodontically treated teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2009; 107: e39-e42.

- [70] Poiate IA, de Vasconcellos AB, de Santana RB, Poiate E. Three-dimensional stress distribution in the human periodontal ligament in masticatory, parafunctional, and trauma loads: finite element analysis. J Periodontol. 2009; 80: 1859-1867.
- [71] Cailleteau JG, Rieger MR, Akin JE. Comparison of intracanal stresses in a post-restored tooth utilizing the finite element method. J Endod. 1992; 18: 540-544.
- [72] Carranza FA. The Tooth -Suporting Structures in Carranza's clinical periodontology. Available at: https://www. worldcat.org/title/carranzas-clinical-periodontology/oclc/ 48093112
- [73] Liu S, Liu Y, Xu J, Rong Q, Pan S. Influence of occlusal contact and cusp inclination on the biomechanical character of a maxillary premolar: a finite element analysis. J Prosthet Dent. 2014; 112: 1238-1245.