Original Article

A Comparative Evaluation of the Effect of Different Endodontic Irrigating Solutions on Microhardness of Root Canal Dentin: An *in vitro* Study

Chris Cherian Geogi¹, MDS; Sandeep Dubey¹, MDS; Palak Singh¹, MDS; B Rajkumar¹, MDS; Praveen Singh Samant¹, MDS; Ananya Rawat¹, MDS;

¹ Dept. of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow (U.P.), India.

KEY WORDS Calcium hypochlorite; Chlorhexidine; Dentin Microhardness; Nanochitosan; Sodium hypochlorite;

Received: 17 April 2023; Revised: 21 August 2023; Accepted: 21 September 2023;

Copyright

© Journal of Dentistry, this is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits reusers to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format if the original work is properly cited, and attribution is given to the creator. The license also permits for commercial use.

ABSTRACT

Statement of the Problem: During endodontic therapy, irrigation solutions applied in the root canal may affect the physicochemical properties of the dentinal wall, thereby changing its microhardness. This may adversely affect the sealing ability and adhesion of dental materials. Therefore, many studies have focused on the search for an ideal root canal irrigant that has a minimal effect on dentinal microhardness.

Purpose: This in *vitro* study was conducted to determine the changes in dentin microhardness after root canal irrigation with different endodontic irrigants.

Materials and Method: Ninety-five freshly extracted maxillary central incisor teeth with straight single canals were selected. These teeth were sectioned transversely at the level of the cementoenamel junction. The working length of each tooth was determined, and canal space was prepared by the HyFlex CM rotary file system. During instrumentation, normal saline was used for irrigation. Then, teeth were split longitudinally into two segments. According to the irrigating solution employed, samples were divided into five groups (n=19): normal saline (Group A), 3% sodium hypochlorite (Group B), 2% chlorhexidine (Group C), 5% calcium hypochlorite (Group D), and 0.2% nanochitosan (Group E).3 mL of the corresponding irrigating solution was administered for total15 minutes in each prepared sample. The Vickers micro-hardness tester was then used to assess micro-hardness. The data was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results: All tested irrigating solutions decreased the dentinal microhardness. Samples irrigated with 5% calcium hypochlorite demonstrated dentinal microhardness of $42.43\pm$ 1.62, which is the lowest among all the tested groups, followed by nano chitosan, sodium hypochlorite, and chlorhexidine. Samples treated with control group (saline) demonstrated the maximum microhardness of dentin in the present study.

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this research, it can be concluded that the tested novel irrigating solutions, 5% calcium hypochlorite and 0.2% nanochitosan, were more detrimental to radicular dentin microhardness when compared with conventional endodon-tic irrigants.

Corresponding author: Dubey S, Dept. of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences. Lucknow (U.P.), India. Tel: +91-997733239 Email: sandeepdubey.mds@gmail.com

Cite this article as: Geogi CC, Dubey S, Singh P, Rajkumar B, Samant P, Rawat A. A Comparative Evaluation of the Effect of Different Endodontic Irrigating Solutions on Microhardness of Root Canal Dentin: An *in vitro* Study. J Dent Shiraz Univ Med Sci. September 2024; 25(3): 236-242.

Introduction

The eradication of microorganisms is imperative during endodontic management. To attain this, mechanical instrumentation of the infected canal space alone may not be sufficient. Therefore, irrigation of root canals by irrigating solutions is an essential part of the endodontic procedure because it facilitates the debridement and disinfection of regions inadequately cleaned by endodontic instruments [1].

During the irrigation process, these endodontic irrigants stay in close contact with the dentin surface and can influence the chemical composition of dentin and its physical characteristic features [2]. Therefore, it is import-ant to determine the effect of the irrigating solution on radicular dentin. Changes in the calcium-phosphorus ra-tio have an impact on the dentin's initial ratio of organic to inorganic components, which can influence the micr-ohardness, solubility, permeability and surface roughne-ss of the dentin [2-3]. Microhardness analysis renders an arbitrary assessment of any alteration in the mineral co-mposition of dental hard tissues. Microhardness studies are often applied to evaluate the physical characteristic-s of materials and to assess the hardness of teeth [4-5].

The irrigating solution most frequently applied during cleaning and shaping is sodium hypochlorite (NaO-Cl). This chemical has exquisite antimicrobial effects along with solvent capacity on vital and necrotic organic tissue [6]. Chlorhexidine (CHD) is another popular irrigation solution used during chemo mechanical debridement that has antibacterial action and no cytotoxicity [7]. However, these endodontic irrigants have little or no effect on the removal of the smear layer when applied alone [8]. This layer can be efficiently eliminated by chelating agents such as ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). It has been demonstrated that EDTA has certain limitations, including decreased efficacy in removing the smear layer from the apical third of canal space [9], a cytotoxic effect [10], and decreased bond strength of resin cement after its application [11].

These endodontic irrigants that are routinely applied in endodontic therapy have certain limitations, so the quest for an ideal endodontic irrigant continues. Nanochitosan (NCH) is a non-synthetic polycationic linear polysaccharide derived by partial deacetylation of chitin. It consists of β -(1-4)-linked D-glucosamine and *N*acetyl-D-glucosamine. This polymer is non-toxic, biodegradable, biocompatible, and bioadhesive [12]. According to the literature, NCH has broad spectrum antimicrobial activity and the ability to remove smear layers [13-14]. However, there is limited research regarding its effect on dentin microhardness.

Calcium hypochlorite [Ca(ClO)₂] has the potential to be used as a root canal irrigant due to its antimicrobial effects and pulp dissolution property [14-15]. It is relatively more stable and has a higher available chlorine ion percentage than sodium hypochlorite [16]. To the best of the author's knowledge, there is very limited research regarding the effect of calcium hypochlorite on dentin microhardness [17-18].

Moreover, recent research has only focused on the effect of chelating agents on the microhardness of dentin. Conversely, in clinical conditions, chelating agents are usually applied along with other irrigants. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the effects of calcium hypochlorite, NCH, sodium hypochlorite, and CHD on dentin microhardness.

Materials and Method

This research was examined and authorized by the University Ethics and Research committee in accordance with the code BBDCODS/03/2020/No.10.This research was carried out on ninety-five freshly extracted maxillary central incisor teeth with mature, intact apices and a single canal, these teeth were extracted due to periodontal reasons. Previously treated, fractured, and carious teeth were eliminated from the study. The external tooth surface was meticulously cleaned from any tissue remnants and calculus deposition, and then they were kept in 4% formalin for 72 hours.

Specimen Preparation

By the use of a water-cooled, diamond impregnated disc, these stored teeth were subsequently sectioned transversely at the position of the cementoenamel junction. Root canal patency was verified by no. 15 K-files (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) followed by a working length measurement. The length of the canal was determined by introducing a K-file in the canal until its tip was visualized at the apical foramen. The working length was then established by subtracting 1.0 mm from that length. Root canal preparation was done using the Hyflex CM nickel- titanium rotary file system (Coltene-Whaledent, Allstetten, Switzerland), to a size of 4/25, driven by an X-smart endomotor (Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland). During instrumentation, canal space was passively delivered with 2 mL of normal saline using a side-vented 28-gauge needle, followed by the application of 5-mL of distilled water for 2 minutes as a final irrigating solution. After canal preparation, the roots were longitudinally sectioned into two halves. This was done by first preparing the grooves along the long axis of the roots with a water-cooled diamond disc (Horico, Germany), mounted on a high-speed handpiece. The roots were then cut in a buccolingual

Table 1: Mean microhardness of all the tested groups								
Ν	Mean	S.D.	S.E.	Min.	Max.	F value	<i>p</i> value [#]	
19	58.43	2.61	0.59	53.58	62.34	230.168	$< 0.001^{\dagger}$	
19	47.07	1.04	0.23	45.38	48.90			
19	47.39	1.93	0.44	44.59	51.20			
19	42.43	1.62	0.37	39.90	45.31			
19	42.62	1.76	0.40	40.11	45.80			
	N 19 19 19 19 19	N Mean 19 58.43 19 47.07 19 47.39 19 42.43	N Mean S.D. 19 58.43 2.61 19 47.07 1.04 19 47.39 1.93 19 42.43 1.62	N Mean S.D. S.E. 19 58.43 2.61 0.59 19 47.07 1.04 0.23 19 47.39 1.93 0.44 19 42.43 1.62 0.37	N Mean S.D. S.E. Min. 19 58.43 2.61 0.59 53.58 19 47.07 1.04 0.23 45.38 19 47.39 1.93 0.44 44.59 19 42.43 1.62 0.37 39.90	N Mean S.D. S.E. Min. Max. 19 58.43 2.61 0.59 53.58 62.34 19 47.07 1.04 0.23 45.38 48.90 19 47.39 1.93 0.44 44.59 51.20 19 42.43 1.62 0.37 39.90 45.31	N Mean S.D. S.E. Min. Max. F value 19 58.43 2.61 0.59 53.58 62.34 230.168 19 47.07 1.04 0.23 45.38 48.90 19 47.39 1.93 0.44 44.59 51.20 19 42.43 1.62 0.37 39.90 45.31	

[#] p Value derived from one-way ANOVA test, [†]significant at p < 0.05. N: Number of samples, S.D.: Standard Deviation, S.E.: Standard Error, CHD: Chlorhexidine, NCH: Nanochitosan

direction with a surgical chisel.

Subsequently, these fragments were implanted in auto polymerizing acrylic resin, leaving their radicular dentin exposed. To achieve a smooth surface devoid of gradients, the dentin surface was polished using carbide abrasive papers with three gradually increasing grit sizes (400, 600, and 1200). Later, polishing was performed with an aluminum oxide paste on a rotary felt disc at a low speed [19].

Group Division

Depending on the endodontic irrigants used, samples were assigned to the following groups (n= 19): Group A was normal saline (KRPL, India), Group B was 3% sodium hypochlorite (Pyrax, India), Group C was 2% CHD (PrevestDenpro, India), Group D was 5% calcium hypochlorite (Gyan Scientific Traders, India), and Group E was 0.2% NCH (Nano Wings, India). All tested irrigating solutions in their allotted group of specimens were irrigated three times continuously, 1ml for 5 minutes with a 28-gauge needle during each application. Hence, 3mL of the irrigating solution were administered in total after 15 minutes. The specimens were later washed with 20mL of distilled water to remove any remaining test solution. The specimens were blotted dry before being sent for evaluation of dentin microhardness.

Dentin Microhardness evaluation

All samples had three indentations that ran parallel to the root canal lumen's margin. A single indentation was made at each measurement by applying a 50-g force perpendicular to the indentation surface with a dwell time of 10 seconds. The three indentations were spaced 200µm apart from one another, and the first one was produced 1000µm from the root canal entrance. The average of the outcomes for the three indentations was used to determine the hardness values for each specimen.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive and analytical statistics were done by using SPSS Version 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Chicago, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to evaluate the normality of the data. The data were analyzed using parametric tests because they had a normal distribution. To determine whether there were mean differences between the groups, the ANOVA test was utilized. Tukey's HSD test was used for post hoc analysis.

Results

The group A–saline group (control) had the highest microhardness value of 58.43 ± 2.61 followed by group C-0.2% CHD group (47.39±1.93), group B- sodium hypochlorite group (47.07±1.04) and group E-NCH group (42.62±1.76). The group D- 5% calcium hypochlorite group had the lowest microhardness value of 42.43 ± 1.62 (Table1).

According to Table 2, when 2% CHD was compared with 3% sodium hypochlorite, a mean difference of 0.31 (95% CI: -1.37-2.00) was seen which was not significant

Table 2: Comparison of mean microhardness between different groups

Groups	M.D.	M.D. 95% C.I.		Significance	
Group A v/s Group B	11.35	9.66-13.04	<0.001 [†]	significant	
Group A v/s Group C	11.03	9.35-12.72	$<\!\!0.001^{\dagger}$	Significant	
Group A v/s Group D	15.99	14.30-17.67	$<\!\!0.001^{\dagger}$	Significant	
Group A v/s Group E	15.80	14.11-17.48	$<\!\!0.001^{\dagger}$	Significant	
Group B v/s Group C	-0.31	-2.00-1.37	0.985	Non-significant	
Group B v/s Group D	4.63	2.94-6.32	< 0.001*	Significant	
Group B v/s Group E	4.44	2.76-6.13	< 0.001*	Significant	
Group C v/s Group D	4.95	3.26-6.63	$<\!\!0.001^{\dagger}$	significant	
Group C v/s Group E	4.76	3.07-6.44	$<\!\!0.001^{\dagger}$	significant	
Group D v/s Group E	0.18	-1.49-1.87	0.998	Non-significant	

^{*} p Value derived from Tukey's HSD post hoc test, [†]significant at p < 0.05. M.D.= mean difference. Group A- normal saline; Group B -3% sodium hypochlorite; Group C -2% chlorhexidine (CHD); Group D-5% calcium hypochlorite; Group E- 0.2% nanochitosan (NCH)

(p= 0.985). Similarly, a mean difference of -0.18 (95% CI: -1.87-1.49) was observed when 5% calcium hypochlorite was compared with 0.2% NCH, which was not statistically significant (p= 0.998).

Discussion

Microhardness assessment is one of the most commonly applied, simple, and nondestructive procedures to evaluate minute alterations in the hardness of dental hard tissues. Any alteration in the root dentin microhardness may have a negative impact on the capacity of obturating materials to seal and adhere to the dentin, which can influence the longevity of endodontically treated teeth [20]. In this investigation, microhardness assessment was done by the Vickers method, as it is less susceptible to surface conditions and provides more accurate measurements [21]. Recent studies done by Saghiri MA *et al.* [22] and Kulkarni S *et al.* [23] have employed the Vickers microhardness test to evaluate root dentin microhardness after irrigating canal space with various irrigating solutions.

According to Arul B et al. [24], contact time and concentration are the main determinants of how an endodontic irrigant will act. It is still unknown how long an irrigating solution should be held in root canals to eliminate the smear layer effectively. For the best possible outcome, Goldberg and Spielberg [25] suggested a longer duration of 15 minutes. In the present study, root canals were irrigated with tested endodontic irrigants for 15 minutes. Similarly, Ari H et al. [26] examined the effect of endodontic irrigation solutions on the dentinal microhardness by keeping the tested irrigating solution in contact with exposed dentin surfaces for 15 minutes. However, earlier research has been inconsistent with regard to the duration. While Tuncer AK et al. [27] assessed dentinal microhardness by keeping a contact time of 1 minute between irrigant and dentin, Akbulut MB et al. [28] evaluated the effects of newly proposed irrigating solutions and contemporary irrigants on the microhardness and surface roughness of human tooth surfaces by treating the dentin with an irrigating solution for 15 minutes and 30 minutes. In this investigation, to mimic the clinical conditions, root canals were irrigated with endodontic irrigating solutions by the application of syringes coupled with 28-gauge needles.

In the current study, five different irrigating solutio-

ns, 3% sodium hypochlorite, 2% CHD, 5% calcium hypochlorite, 0.2% NCH, and normal saline (control group) were evaluated for their effect on dentine microhardness. Among these irrigants, the minimum dentin microhardness in the present research was exhibited by samples irrigated by calcium hypochlorite (Table1). This result could be explained by calcium hypochlorite's capacity to improve dentin permeability, which could result in higher calcium ion sequestration and surface demineralization [29]. Demineralization refers to reduced mineral content that, in turn, influences the microhardness of the teeth. Calcium hypochlorite is available in powder form; it is formed as a root canal irrigant by mixing the powder with distilled water. According to Dutta A et al. [30], after ionization, an aqueous solution of calcium hypochlorite releases calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)₂] and HOCl. The reduced dentin microhardness caused by calcium hypochlorite can also be attributed to the effect of Ca(OH)₂. Due to their small diameter and extremely alkaline (pH=12.5) inorganic structure, Ca(OH)₂ molecules can infiltrate deep into the intrafibrillar structure of the mineralized collagen fibrils and alter the tropocollagen's three-dimensional conformation. As a result, the microhardness of dentin is reduced [31-32].

In the present study, NCH demonstrated a significant reduction in the microhardness of dentin (Table 1). The outcome of this study is in accordance with Saha SG et al. [33], who also reported that 0.2% NCH caused a significant reduction in the microhardness of dentin. However, the precise process by which NCH affects the dentin microhardness is not yet established. Initially, it was thought that the reduction of microhardness by NCH was due to the presence of acetic acid [34]. However, Cruz-Filho et al. [35] observed in their study that 5% acetic acid has minimal influence on dentin microhardness. Therefore, it can be stated that the substance. rather than the acid, may be responsible for Chitosan's action in decreasing the microhardness of dentin. Observations from the present study can be attributed to the chelating property of NCH. Due to its hydrophilic nature, NCH polymer can adhere to radicular dentin and get easily absorbed into the root canal wall [36]. Its cationic property facilitates ionic interaction between the calcium ions present in the dentinal wall and chelating chemicals. In the present study, chitosan had less effect on dentinal microhardness than calcium hypochlorite with no statistical difference between them (Table 2). This outcome can be due to covalent interaction between chitosan and the collagen in dentin, which seems to cause remineralization of demineralized dentin leading to higher dentinal microhardness [37].

A 3% concentration of sodium hypochlorite was chosen for this study because this is the most frequently employed concentration in clinical procedures to minimize its adverse effects [38-39]. In our research, the group treated with 3% sodium hypochlorite demonstrated an appropriate reduction in dentin microhardness. This result is in accordance with the findings of a recent study conducted by Elika V et al. [40]. Dentin consists of about 20% organic material by weight. Most of this organic material is formed by type I collagen, which considerably adds to dentin's mechanical characteristics [41]. Long peptide chains of type I collagen are broken, and their protein terminal groups are chlorinated by sodium hypochlorite to form N-chloramines, which can then be further fragmented to form new species [42]. Consequently, sodium hypochlorite irrigant can influence the mechanical properties of dentin by destruction of organic dentin components. According to Kinney et al. [43], the drop in dentin microhardness is due to the decrease in stiffness of the intertubular dentin matrix, which is caused by the heterogeneous distribution of the mineral content inside the collagen matrix. Haiping Xu et al. [44] observed that sodium hypochlorite decreases the mechanical strength of root dentin by affecting the intratubular surface close to the root canal. They also stated that as the concentration of sodium hypochlorite increases, there is a more intense effect on the mechanical properties of dentin.

The group of samples irrigated with 2% CHD solution showed the least decrease in microhardness. The outcome of the present study corroborates the finding of Aslantas EE *et al.* [45], who demonstrated that 2% CHD solution reduced the microhardness of root canal dentin. Due to its cationic nature, CHD can easily bind to anionic molecules, including the phosphates present in the hydroxyapatite lattice. Considering that the calcium carbonate complex of dentin contains phosphates, CHD can lead to alterations in the Ca/P ratio [46], which could have been the cause of the reduction in dentin microhardness in the present study. However, there are contradictory findings regarding the effects of CHD on dentin microhardness in the literature. Dhawan R *et al.* [47] exhibited no effect of 2 % CHD on the microhardness of dentin. In contrast, Kulkarni S *et al.* [23] stated that 2% CHD as an irrigating solution was seen to have a positively strengthening impact on the microhardness of root dentin in comparison to sodium hypochlorite and EDTA, which reduced the strength of root dentin. This might be attributed to the difference in the experimental conditions, the specimen preparation methodology, and the dentin structural diversity.

Conclusion

All of the endodontic irrigants evaluated in this study led to decrease in the root dentin's microhardness. When compared to traditional endodontic irrigants, novel irrigating solutions were more detrimental to root dentin microhardness. Further investigations are essential to assess the safety and biocompatibility of these novel irrigating solutions under clinical conditions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Lee OYS, Khan K, Li KY, Shetty H, Abiad RS, Cheung GSP, et al. Influence of apical preparation size and irrigation technique on root canal debridement: a histological analysis of round and oval root canals. Int Endod J. 2019; 52: 1366-1376.
- [2] Nogueira BML, da Costa Pereira TI, Pedrinha VF, de Almeida Rodrigues P. Effects of different irrigation solutions and protocols on mineral content and ultrastructure of root canal dentine. Iran Endod J. 2018; 13: 209-215.
- [3] Baron M, Llena C, Fornar L, Palomares M, Gonzalez Garcia C, Salmeron Sanchez M. Nanostructural changes in dentine caused by endodontic irrigants. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2013; 18: e733–e736.
- [4] Faraoni-Romano JJ, Turssi CP, Serra MC. Concentration-dependent effect of bleaching agents on microhardness and roughness of enamel and dentin. Am J Dent. 2007; 20: 31-34.
- [5] Chuenarrom C, Benjakul P, Daosodsai P. Effect of indentation load and time on knoop and vickers microhardness

tests for enamel and dentin. Mat Res. 2009; 12: 473-476.

- [6] Hsieh SC, Teng NC, Chu CC, Chu YT, Chen CH, Chang LY, et al. The antibacterial efficacy and *in vivo* toxicity of sodium hypochlorite and electrolyzed oxidizing (EO) water-based endodontic irrigating solutions. Materials (Basel). 2020; 13: 260.
- [7] Vouzara T, Koulaouzidou E, Ziouti F, Economides N. Combined and independent cytotoxicity of sodium hypochlorite, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid and chlorhexidine. Int Endod J. 2016; 49: 764-773.
- [8] De vasconcelos BC, Luna-Cruz SM, De-Deus G, De Moraes IG, Maniglia-Ferreira C, Gurgel-Filho DE. Cleaning ability of chlorhexidine gel and sodium hypochlorite associated or not with EDTA as root canal irrigants: a scanning electron microscopy study. J Appl Oral Sci. 2007; 15: 387-391.
- [9] Ballal NV, Jain I, Tay FR. Evaluation of the smear layer removal and decalcification effect of QMix, maleic acid and EDTA on root canal dentine. J Dent. 2016; 51: 62-68.
- [10] Marins JS, Sassone LM, Fidel SR, Ribeiro DA. *In vitro* genotoxicity and cytotoxicity in murine fibroblasts exposed to EDTA, NaOCl, MTAD and citric acid. Braz Dent J. 2012; 23: 527-533.
- [11] Mazzitelli C, Monticelli F, Toledano M, Ferrari M, Osorio R. Dentin treatment effects on the bonding performance of self-adhesive resin cements. Eur J Oral Sci. 2010; 118: 80-86.
- [12] Zhang C, Hui D, Du C, Sun H, Peng W, et al. Preparation and application of chitosan biomaterials in dentistry. Int J Biol Macromol. 2021; 167: 1198-1210.
- [13] Carpio- Perochena AD, Bramante CM, Chelating and antibacterial properties of chitosan nanoparticles on dentin. Restor Dent Endod. 2015; 40: 195-201.
- [14] Kaur G, Reddy TVK, Venkatesh KV, Mahalakshmi K. Effects of chitosan oligosaccharide and calcium hypochlorite on *E. Faecali* dentinal biofilm and smear layer removal- SEM analysis. Indian J Dent Res. 2020;31:550-556.
- [15] Paula KBDE, Carlotto IB, Marconi DF, Ferreira MBC, Grecca FS, Montagner F. Calcium Hypochlorite Solutions: An *in vitro* Evaluation of Antimicrobial Action and Pulp Dissolution. Eur Endod J. 2019; 4: 15-20.
- [16] Leonardo NG, Carlotto IB, Luisi SB, Kopper PM, Grecca FS, Montagner F. Calcium Hypochlorite Solutions: Evaluation of surface tension and effect of different storage conditions and time periods over pH and available chlor-

ine Content. J Endod. 2016; 42: 641-645.

- [17] Duvvi SAB, Adarsha MS, Usha HL, Ashwini P, Murthy CS, Shivekshith AK. A Comparative assessment of different concentrations of sodium hypochlorite and calcium hypochlorite on microhardness of root canal dentin: an *in vitro* study. Int J Oral Care. 2018; 6: 54-58.
- [18] Souza MA, Lago BLT, Pletsch A, Binotto A, Poletti A, Rodrigues FT, et al. Association of calcium hypochlorite, reciprocating instrumentation and photodynamic therapy: Antimicrobial analysis and effects on root dentin structure. Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther. 2020; 29: 101625.
- [19] Massoud SF, Moussa SM, Hanafy SA, Backly RM. Evaluation of the microhardness of root canal dentin after different irrigation protocols (*in vitro* study). Alex Dent J. 2017; 42: 73-79.
- [20] Lindblad RM, Lassila LVJ. Vallittu PK, Tjäderhane L. The effect of chlorhexidine and dimethyl sulfoxide on long-term sealing ability of two calcium silicate cements in root canal. Dent Mater. 2021; 37: 328-335.
- [21] Fuentes V, Toledano M, Osorio R, Carvalho RM. Microhardness of superficial and deep sound human dentin. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2003; 66: 850-853.
- [22] Saghiri MA, Rahmani B, Conte M, Nath D, Peters OA, Morgano SM. Diabetes mellitus affects the microhardness of root dentine: An *in vitro* study. Eur Endod J. 2022; 7: 122–128.
- [23] Kulkarni S, Mustafa M, Ghatole K, Alqahtani AR, I Asiri FY, Alghomlas ZI, et al. Evaluation of 2% chlorhexidine and 2% sodium fluoride as endodontic irrigating solutions on root dentine microhardness: An *in vitro* study. Eur J Dent. 2021; 15: 253-258.
- [24] Arul B, Suresh N, Sivarajan R, Natanasabapathy V. Influence of volume of endodontic irrigants used in different irrigation techniques on root canal dentin microhardness. Indian J Dent Res. 2021: 32: 230-235.
- [25] Goldberg F, Spielberg C. The effect of EDTAC and the variation of its working time analyzed with scanning electron microscopy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1982; 53: 74-77.
- [26] Ari H, Erdemir A, Belli S. Evaluation of the effect endodontic irrigation solutions on the microhardness and the roughness of root canal dentin. J Endod. 2004; 30: 792-795.
- [27] Tuncer AK, Tuncer S, Siso SH. Effect of QMix irrigant on the microhardness of root canal dentine. Aust Dent J. 2015; 60: 163-168.

- [28] Akbulut MB, Guneser MB, Eldeniz AU. Effects of fruit vinegars on root dentin microhardness and roughness. J Conserv Dent. 2019; 22: 97-101.
- [29] Sheikh Ghahderijani M, Khoroushi M, Feiz A. Effect of calcium hypochlorite and sodium hypochlorite as root canal irrigants on push-out bond strength of fiber post cemented with etch-and-rinse resin cement: An *in vitro* study. Front Dent. 2021; 18: 18.
- [30] Dutta A, Saunders W. Comparative evaluation of calcium hypochlorite and sodium hypochlorite on soft-tissue dissolution. J Endod. 2012; 38: 1395-1398.
- [31] Yassen GH, Chu TM, Eckert G, Platt JA. Effect of medicaments used in endodontic regeneration technique on the chemical structure of human immature radicular dentin: an *in vitro* study. J Endod. 2013; 39: 269-273.
- [32] Naseri M, Eftekhar L, Gholami F, Atai M, Dianat O. The effect of calcium hydroxide and nano–calcium hydroxide on microhardness and superficial chemical structure of root canal dentin: An *ex vivo* Study. J Endod. 2019; 45: 1148-1154.
- [33] Saha SG, Sharma V, Bharadwaj A, Shrivastava P, Saha MK, Dubey S, et al. Effectiveness of various endodontic irrigants on the micro-hardness of the root canal dentin: An *in vitro* study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017; 11: ZC01-ZC04.
- [34] Pimenta JA, Zaparolli D, Pécora JD, Cruz-Filho AM, Chitosan: Effect of a new chelating agent on the microhardness of root dentin. Braz Dent J. 2012; 23: 212-217.
- [35] Cruz-Filho AM, Sousa-Neto MD, Savioli RC, Gairba Silva R, Vansan LP, et al. Effect of chelating solutions on the microhardness of root canal lumen dentin. J Endod. 2011; 37: 358-362.
- [36] Deepthi Nirmal G, Sai Sankar AJ, Sridevi E, Sridhar M, Siva Sankar K, Raja Satish P. Comparative evaluation of chelating efficacy of nano-chitosan, pomegranate extract, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on primary radicular dentin: An *in vitro* study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2022; 40: 201-207.
- [37] Ratih ND, Enggardipta RA, Kartikaningtyas AT. The effect of chitosan nanoparticle as a final irrigation solution on the smear layer removal, micro-hardness and surface roughness of root canal dentin. Open Dent J. 2020; 14: 19-26.
- [38] Karale R, Thakore A, Shetty V. An evaluation of antibacterial efficacy of 3% sodium hypochlorite, high-frequenc-

y alternating current and 2% chlorhexidine on *enterococcus faecalis*: an *in vitro* study. J Conserv Dent. 2011; 14: 2-5.

- [39] Mehta N, Gupta A, Mahesh S, Abraham D, Singh A, Jala S, et al. Comparative evaluation of antibacterial efficacy of Allium sativum extract, aqueous ozone, diode laser, and 3% sodium hypochlorite in root canal disinfection: An *in vivo* study. J Conserv Dent. 2020; 23: 577-582.
- [40] Elika V, Kunam D, Anumula L, Chinni SK, Govula K. Comparative evaluation of Chloroquick with Triphala, sodium hypochlorite, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on the microhardness of root canal dentin: An *in vitro* study. J Clin Transl Res. 2021; 7: 72-76.
- [41] Cao Y, Liu W, Ning T, Mei ML, Li QL, et al. A novel oligopeptide simulating dentine matrix protein 1 for biomimetic mineralization of dentine. Clin Oral Investig. 2014; 18: 873–881.
- [42] Marending M, Paque F, Fischer J, Zehnder M. Impact of irrigant sequence on mechanical properties of human root dentin. J Endod. 2007; 33: 1325-1328.
- [43] Kinney JH, Marshall SJ, Marshall GW. The mechanical properties of human dentin: a critical review and reevaluation of the dental literature. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 2003; 14: 13-29.
- [44] Xu H, Ye Z, Zhang A, Lin F, Fu J, Fok ASL. Effects of concentration of sodium hypochlorite as an endodontic irrigant on the mechanical and structural properties of root dentine: A laboratory study. Int Endod J. 2022; 55: 1091-1102.
- [45] Aslantas EE, Buzoglu H Dogan, Altundasar E, Serper A. Effect of EDTA, Sodium Hypochlorite, and Chlorhexidine Gluconate with or without Surface Modifiers on Dentin Microhardness. J Endod. 2014; 40: 876-879.
- [46] Oliveira LD, Carvalho CAT, Nunes W, Valera MC, Camargo CHR, Jorge AOC. Effects of chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite on the microhardness of root canal dentin. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2007; 104: 125-128.
- [47] Dhawan R, Gupta A, Dhillon JS, Dhawan S, Sharma T, Batra D. Effect of different irrigating solutions with surfactants on the microhardness and smear layer removal of root canal dentin: An *in vitro* study. J Conserv Dent. 2019; 22: 454-458.