Original Article

Management of Post-orthodontic White Spot Lesions and Subsequent Enamel Discoloration with Two Microabrasion Techniques

Arezoo Jahanbin ^a, Hamideh Ameri ^b, Mostafa Shahabi ^a, Ala Ghazi ^c

^a Dept. of Orthodontics, Dental Research Centre, School of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ^b Dept. of Restorative Dentistry, Dental Research Centre, School of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

^c Dept. of Oral Medicine, School of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

KEY WORDS	ABSTRACT
White Spot Lesion;	Statement of the Problem: Demineralization of enamel adjacent to orthodontic
Microabrasion;	appliances frequently occurs, commonly due to insufficient oral hygiene.
Discoloration;	Purpose: The aim of this study was to compare two microabrasion techniques on
Orthodontics	improving the white spot lesions as well as subsequent enamel discoloration.
	Materials and Method: Sixty extracted premolar teeth without caries and hypo-
	plasia were selected for this study. White spot lesions were artificially induced on
	the buccal surface of each tooth. Teeth were randomly assigned to three treatment
	groups, each treated with pumice powder as the control, microabrasion with 18%
	HCl, and microabrasion with 37% H ₃ PO ₄ . Subsequently, the three groups were
	daily immersed for five minutes in a tea-coffee solution for a period of one week.
	Colorimetric evaluation was done before and after formation of white spot lesions,
	after microabrasion, and after immersion in the colored solution; then the color
	differences (ΔE) were calculated. Statistical analysis was performed by multiple
	measurement analysis and the Tukey's test.
	Results: This study showed that ΔE between the stages of white spot formation
	and microabrasion for H ₃ PO ₄ was more than other groups and for the pumice pow-
	der group it was less than the others. Furthermore, there was a significant differ-
	ence between ΔE of the three study groups ($p=0.017$). Additionally, ΔE after plac-
	ing the teeth in the colored solution and microabrasion was the highest for the HCl
	group and the lowest for the pumice powder group. There was also a significant
	difference between the three groups ($p=0.000$).
Received December 2014; Received in revised form March 2015; Accepted March 2015.	Conclusion: Pumice powder alone had similar effects as 18% HCl on removing the
	white spot lesions. Nevertheless, 18% HCl makes the enamel susceptible for subse-
	quent color staining more than the other microabrasion methods.
	Corresponding Author: Ghazi A., Resident of Oral Medicine, Dept. of Oral Medicine, School of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Vakilabad Bly, Mashhad Iran, PO Box: 911735-984

try, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Vakilabad Blv, Mashhad, Iran P.O.Box: 911735-984

Tel: +98-51-38829501 Fax: +98-51-38829500 Email: ala gh10@yahoo.com

Cite this article as: Jahanbin A., Ameri H., Shahabi M., Ghazi A. Management of Post-orthodontic White Spot Lesions and Subsequent Enamel Discoloration with Two Microabrasion Techniques. J Dent Shiraz Univ Med Sci., 2015 March; 16(1 Suppl): 56-60.

Introduction

White spot lesions are subsurface enamel porosities caused by enamel demineralization. [1] According to Ogaard et al. white spot lesions are the most prevalent iatrogenic side effect of orthodontic treatment. These lesions develop as a result of prolonged plaque accumulation on the enamel surfaces adjacent to orthodontic devices, commonly due to poor oral hygiene. [2-3]

After active orthodontic treatment, demineralization process is normally expected to decelerate due to alterations in these local factors. Some white spot lesions may sometimes remineralize and return to normal or at least to a visually acceptable appearance. However, some white spot lesions persist and become unsightly. In severe cases, white spot lesions may progress to enamel carious lesions which require restorative treatment. Hence, early diagnosis and treatment of these lesions by dentists would be of particular importance. [4]

Various treatments have been proposed to improve the appearance of white spot lesions including restorative procedures, improvement of remineralization using high concentrations of topical fluoride or casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP), chewing gum to promote remineralization, microabrasion, and argon-laser irradiation. [5-6]

Microabrasion technique involves application of hydrochloric acid and pumice in form of a paste, to the affected enamel surfaces, which removes about 100µ of the enamel through a combination of erosion and abrasion. In this technique, polished enamel does not have the typical enamel surface appearance because no interprismatic space exists in microabraded enamel. This highly polished enamel surface, compared with intact natural enamel, is more resistant to bacterial colonization and demineralization. Therefore, this technique would not place the patient at risk of demineralization and its progression. [7-8] In this regard, Willis et al. concluded that microabrasion with hydrochloric acid is an appropriate technique to employ prior to initiating more aggressive cosmetic dentistry. [9]

Another study has shown that the application of microabrasion technique with hydrochloric acid and pumice resulted in 83% reduction in the size of white spot lesions after the treatment. Therefore, the researchers concluded that microabrasion technique is an effective treatment for the improvement of long-standing post-orthodontic demineralized enamel lesions. [10]

Furthermore, Pliska et al. examined the effects of application of CPP-ACP paste and microabrasion treatment on the regression of artificially-induced white spot lesions in bovine enamel. They demonstrated that microabrasion treatment with or without CPP-ACP reduce white spot lesions. [11]

Previously, Meireles et al. in 2009 evaluated the surface roughness and enamel loss resulting from two microabrasion methods. Evaluation of samples by a stereomicroscope (40X) and the image tool software revealed that the mean surface roughness by hydrochloric acid was statistically lower than phosphoric acid (p< 0.001). Deeper demineralization depth (p< 0.003) and a larger total demineralization area were observed in teeth treated with hydrochloric acid as compared with those treated with phosphoric acid (p< 0.005). This study showed that application of phosphoric acid is safer and more convenient to perform. [12]

The effects of the two microabrasion methods using 18% hydrochloric acid and 37% phosphoric acid on improvement of post-orthodontic white spot lesions and subsequent enamel discoloration has not been investigated yet, therefore, our study aims on comparison of these two techniques.

Materials and Method

Ethics approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences prior to the beginning of this research project.

Sixty upper premolars, extracted for orthodontic purposes, were selected to be used in this study. Only premolars free of damage from extraction forceps and with no evidence of enamel hypoplasia or enamel defects were used. The teeth were cleaned and stored in normal saline until required. The teeth were cleaned and polished with prophylactic rubber cups for 5 seconds and then thoroughly washed and dried. The teeth were randomly assigned to three equal groups of twenty each. **Baseline colorimetric evaluation**

At baseline, color measurement for the buccal surface of all teeth was recorded with a colorimeter (Color Eye XTH; Gretagm Macbeth, USA) which recorded the Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage Lab values (CIElab). The L-value corresponds to the degree of lightness in the Munsell system, whereas the a-values and the b-values, respectively, give the position on red or green (+a = red, -a = green) and yellow or blue (+b= yellow,-b=blue) axes.

Palatal sides of the teeth were embedded in a mold of Speedex (Colten; Asia Chemi Teb Co., Tehran, Iran) in order to fix the specimens during colorimetery. The colorimeter was automatically calibrated before each precision. All measurements were done by keeping the colorimeter perpendicular and flush to the buccal surface of the tooth, and in contact with the buccal tooth surface. The color was evaluated from the middle of the buccal teeth surfaces. Measurements were repeated two times for each specimen and the mean values of data were calculated. To minimize the effect of ambient light on the results, all colorimetric evaluations were carried out in the same examination room in sunny days between 11 a.m. to 13 p.m. with standardized lighting conditions.

White Spot Formation

The specimens were kept individually in a demineralizing solution (2.0 mM calcium, 2.0 mM phosphate, 0.030 ppm F, in 75 mM acetate buffer, pH 4.3) for 3 hours (20 ml per block), and in a remineralizing solution (1.5 mM calcium, 0.9 mM phosphate, 150 mM of KCl, 0.050 ppm F in 20 mM cacodylic buffer, pH 7.4) for 20 hours (10 ml per block) each day. After each cycle, the specimens were returned to the same solutions. This cycle was repeated daily for 5 days and the teeth remained in the remineralizing solution for 2 days. The experiment was carried out at 37°C. [13]

Second colorimetery

The second colorimetery (after white spot formation) was performed exactly as the baseline colorimetery and L, a, b values were recorded. Changes in the color of each specimen in comparison with the initial colorimetery results ($\Delta E1$) were calculated according to the following formula: $\Delta E = \sqrt{\Delta a}^2 + (\Delta b)^2 + (\Delta l)^2$

White spot elimination

The first group was polished with pumice powder. Microabrasion technique with 18% hydrochloric acid, and 37% phosphoric acid was performed for the second and the third groups, respectively. For all groups, the treatment was done using a prophylactic rubber cap with slight pressure for five seconds. [12] After this stage, L, a, b were again measured and the color differences were compared with the second colorimetery (Δ E2).

Staining Stage

The teeth were immersed in a tea-coffee solution for one week. This solution was prepared as follows: 1 g of tea and 1 g of coffee were mixed in 100 cc of boiled water and then filtered. The teeth were placed in the solution daily for five minutes. Subsequent to this stage, the L, a, b values were determined again and color differences were compared with the second colorimetery (Δ E3). The teeth from all groups were preserved in Fusayama-Meyer artificial saliva at pH of 7.3 containing KCI (0.4 g/l), NaCl (0.4 g/l), CaCl₂, $2H_2O$ (0.906 g/l), NaH₂PO₄, $2H_2O$ (0.690 g/l), Na₂S, $9H_2O$ (0.005 g/l), Urea (1 g/l), and distilled water at 37°C.

Statistical analysis

The Data were analyzed by SPSS (version 10.0) and statistical analysis was performed by using the multiple measurement analysis. Tukey's test was done for pairwise comparison between the means. The significant level was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Based on multiple measurement test, there was an interaction effect between the groups and between different stages (p < 0.05). Furthermore, at an error level of 5%, there were significant differences in ΔE values of all study groups (p < 0.05).

The means and standard deviations of ΔE for the three groups have been shown in Table 1. According to this table, no significant difference was observed between $\Delta E1$ of all groups under study (p=0.106).

Table 1: Mean \pm SD of Δ E and results of statistical analysis in the three study groups in three stages colorimetery								
$\Delta \mathbf{E}$	Ν	Mean	S.D	P-value				
ΔΕ1								
Pumice powder	20	5.71	3.05					
HCl	20	4.08	1.74	0.106				
H3PO4	20	4.33	2.73					
$\Delta E2$								
Pumice powder	20	6.80	3.17					
HCl	20	8.74	2.53	0.017				
H3PO4	20	9.46	3.06					
ΔE3								
Pumice powder	20	10.22	4.59					
HCl	20	19.41	4.23	0.000				
H3PO4	20	15.62	5.16					

As shown in Table 2, the mean $\Delta E2$ for the 37% phosphoric acid group was higher than the 18% hydrochloric acid group, while it showed the lowest value for the pumice powder (control) group. Furthermore, there was a significant difference between $\Delta E2s$ of the three study groups (p=0.017).

Table 2: Results of Tukey's test for comparison of $\Delta E2$ in the three study groups								
Group	Ν	Subset for Alpha=0.05						
Group		1	2					
Pumice powder	20	6.8068	8.7499					
HCl	20	8.7499	6.7499					
H3PO4	20	9.4617	0.725					
Sig		0.101	0.725					

Additionally, $\Delta E3$, which was the mean difference between L, a, b after placing the teeth in the color solution and L, a, b after microabrasion, was the highest for the HCL group and the lowest for the pumice powder group. There was also a significant difference between $\Delta E3s$ of the three groups (p= 0.000) (Table 3).

Table 3 : Results of Tukey's test for comparison of $\Delta E3$ in the three study groups								
Crearry	N	Subset for Alpha=0.05						
Group		1	2	3				
Pumice powder	20	10.2215						
H3PO4	20		15.6219					
HCl	20			19.4125				
Sig		1.000	1.000	1.000				

Discussion

Despite the previous efforts made by many researchers to prevent white spot formation around the fixed orthodontic appliances, this problem is still not resolved. However, most of the efforts have been focused on prevention of white spot formation rather than treatment of these lesions. The objective of the conservative treatments is based on demineralization of subsurface lesions. As a general procedure for treatment of white spot lesions, initially the most conservative methods such as topical fluoride should be performed. If white spot lesions still remain after this procedure, microabrasion is the treatment of choice. [14]

Willmote examined the effect of fluoride and saliva on treatment of white spot lesions after removal of fixed orthodontic appliances. He observed that the sizes of the post-orthodontic demineralized white spot lesions were reduced within six months following the treatment to approximately half the original size; however, the lesions were not completely removed. [15] Furthermore, Ogaard et al. reported that the application of concentrated fluoride agents for treating white spot lesions would arrest the lesion and prevent complete repair. In particular, deep lesions tend to remineralize only superficially while the underlying lesion body still remains porous. [2]

Enamel microabrasion is a method of treatment for color elimination, improving surface texture and remineralization. [16] Son et al. compared microabrasion with resin infiltration technique in a clinical study and reported that the resin infiltration technique was more effective for the treatment of white spot lesions. [5] Moreover, it has been shown that enamel microabrasion is a conservative method to eliminate enamel staining. The application of this method in addition to saving time, if used correctly, will improve teeth appearance without requiring mechanical tooth preparation, pain, and sensitivity. [7]

In the present study, we employed two microabrasion techniques to remove white spot lesions. Based on the results of this study, microabrasion with pumice powder was as effective as that with 18% hydrochloric acid and pumice powder in removing white spot lesions. However, in a study by Meireles et al., it was reported that both hydrochloric and phosphoric acids effectively removed these lesions. [12] Furthermore, Murphy et al. showed that the microabrasion method by using 18% hydrochloride acid and pumice was an effective approach for the improvement of long-standing postorthodontic demineralized enamel lesions. [10] Also, it has been revealed that the microabrasion technique using 18% hydrochloride acid, pumice and glycerin removes completely all mild lesions and improves severe lesion to an appreciable extent. [17] Same findings have also been reported in a study by Bezerra et al. [18]

In the current study, after placing the teeth in a tea-coffee solution, the hydrochloric acid and the pumice powder groups showed the most and the least color changes, respectively. This result, as it has been suggested by Meireles et al., may be due to a deeper demineralization size after hydrochloric acid application. [12] Color differences greater than two units might be recognized, but most clinical studies set the limit for a visible color change at 3.7 units. The current study used the color difference of 3.7 units as a threshold between an acceptable and unacceptable color change. [19] Furthermore, the calculated color changes in all the stages of assessment and in all groups were higher than 3.7 units that could be recognized by the naked eye.

Finally, it must be noted that the using staining solution, immersion time, dilution with saliva and whether the teeth are cleaned or not during the study would be some of the factors that may determine the severity of enamel staining.

Conclusion

Despite the limitations of this study, microabrasion with pumice powder alone had similar effects as with 18% hydrochloric acid in removing the white spot lesions. Nevertheless, 18% hydrochloric acid would make the enamel more susceptible to subsequent color staining in comparison with the other microabrasion methods.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Vice Chancellor for Research of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences for supporting this research.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this study.

References

- Zachrisson BJ. A posttreatment evaluation of direct bonding in orthodontics. Am J Orthod 1977; 71: 173-189.
- [2] Ogaard B, Rølla G, Arends J, ten Cate JM. Orthodontic appliances and enamel demineralization. Part 2. Prevention and treatment of lesions. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1988; 94: 123-128.
- [3] Mizrahi E. Enamel demineralization following orthodontic treatment. Am J Orthod 1982; 82: 62-67.
- [4] Sudjalim TR, Woods MG, Manton DJ, Reynolds EC. Prevention of demineralization around orthodontic brackets in vitro. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007; 131: 705.e1-9.
- [5] Son JH, Hur B, Kim HC, Park JK. Management of white spots: resin infiltration technique and microabrasion. J Korean Acad Conserv Dent 2011; 36: 66–71.
- [6] Willmot D. White spot lesions after orthodontic treatment. Semin Orthod 2008; 14: 209–219.
- [7] Lynch CD, McConnell RJ. The use of microabrasion to remove discolored enamel: a clinical report. J Prosthet Dent 2003; 90: 417-419.
- [8] Donly KJ, Sasa IS. Potential remineralization of postorthodontic demineralized enamel and the use of enamel microabrasion and bleaching for esthetics. Semin Orthod

2008; 14: 220-225.

- [9] Willis GP, Arbuckle GR. Orthodontic decalcification management with microabrasion. J Indiana Dent Assoc 1992; 71: 16-19.
- [10] Murphy TC, Willmot DR, Rodd HD. Management of postorthodontic demineralized white lesions with microabrasion: a quantitative assessment. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007; 131: 27-33.
- [11] Pliska BT, Warner GA, Tantbirojn D, Larson BE. Treatment of white spot lesions with ACP paste and microabrasion. Angle Orthod 2012; 82: 765-769.
- [12] Meireles SS, Andre Dde A, Leida FL, Bocangel JS, Demarco FF. Surface roughness and enamel loss with two microabrasion techniques. J Contemp Dent Pract 2009; 10: 58-65.
- [13] Argenta RM, Tabchoury CP, Cury JA. A modified pHcycling model to evaluate fluoride effect on enamel demineralization. Pesqui Odontol Bras 2003; 17: 241-246.
- [14] Karthikeyan MK, Ramaswamy K. How to combat white spot lesion in orthodonticcases-review study. Annals and Essences of Dentistry 2012; 4: 102-103.
- [15] Willmot DR. White lesions after orthodontic treatment: does low fluoride make a difference? J Orthod 2004; 31: 235-242.
- [16] Ardu S, Castioni NV, Benbachir N, Krejci I. Minimally invasive treatment of white spot enamel lesions. Quintessence Int 2007; 38: 633-636.
- [17] Gelgör IE, Büyükyilmaz T. A practical approach to white spot lesion removal. World J Orthod 2003; 4: 152–156.
- [18] Bezerra AC, Leal SC, Otero SA, Gravina DB, Cruvinel VR, Ayrton de Toledo O. Enamel opacities removal using two different acids: an in vivo comparison. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2005; 29: 147-150.
- [19] Johnston WM, Kao EC. Assessment of appearance match by visual observation and clinical colorimetry. J Dent Res 1989; 68: 819-822.